

Hierarchization of the effect of agricultural practices in cropland on earthworm communities in Brittany



Abdourahmane Diallo¹, Kevin Hoeffner¹, Lola Morand¹, Sarah Guillocheau^{1,2}, Nathan Lévêque¹, Pierrick Sorgniard³, Daniel Cluzeau¹

Context & problematic

1. University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)] - UMR 6553, Rennes, France 2. Micro-company, MME Guillocheau Sarah, Mauron, France 3. Agricultural cooperative of Le Gouessant, Lamballe, France

Earthworms contribute to a multitude of ecosystem services in agricultural soils. However, earthworms are impacted by agricultural practices and most studies that have evaluated the effect of agricultural practices on earthworm communities have been conducted on a reduced combination of practices (Overstreet *et al.,* 2010; Bai *et al.,* 2018; Torppa *et al.,* 2022) specific to tillage (Chan, 2001; Curry *et al.,* 2002; Briones and Schmidt, 2017), fertilization (Marhan *et al.,* 2005; van Eekeren *et al.,* 2009; Niswati *et al.,* 2022), pesticides application (Yasmin *et al.,* 2010; Pelosi *et al.,* 2014; Yatoo *et al.,* 2022) and crop rotation (Pérès *et al.,* 2003; Crotty *et al.,* 2016) whereas the combination of certain practices can compensate or aggravate their effect on earthworm communities.

The aim of the present work was to hierarchize and study conjointly the effects of

- I. tillage (either ploughing or a simplified cultivation technique),
- II. nitrogen (N) fertilization (either mineral or organic),
- III. pesticide application (Treatment Frequency Indices for herbicides and without herbicides),



rotation

Materials & methods

eliminate

Study site



Agricultural practices

The agricultural practices were collected

from the farmers. Then, we performed

Earthworm sampling and lab. analysis

4 years of sampling from 2017 to 2020



We used generalized linear mixed models (GLMM, Bolker et al., 2009; Brooks et al., 2017) to test the effect of agricultural (tillage, practices fertilization, pesticides application and crop rotation) on all the parameters of earthworm communities (total earthworm abundance, biomass and ecological richness, category abundance biomass, and and Equitability Index.

hich

earthworms.

Design of soil Extraction of soil blocks

: Equitability index. The 26 crop plots were sampled each spring by the modified protocol (ISO 23611-1:2018) which consists to extract 6 blocks of soil (20x20x25 cm) and sorted manually the

Storage in

ethanol

In the laboratory, earthworms were counted, weighed by ecological categories and soil block, assigned to a developmental stage (juvenile, sub-adult, and adult), identified at the lowest taxonomic level possible (sub species, species or genus) and assigned to an earthworm category: Epigeic, *Lumbricus* anecic, *Aporrectodea* anecic, and endogeic (Bouché, 1972, 1977; Jégou *et al.*, 1998; Hoeffner *et al.*, 2019).

The study was conducted during 4 years on a field network of 26 annual crops plots in Brittany (France).

auto-correlated variables. In the end, we
selected 6 agricultural variables which
are summarized in the table below.Agricultural practicesMINMAXMEANMineral fertilization018060.2660.2650.98(N.ha)0Organic fertilization0032096.2070.49(N.ha)0

correlation matrices to

		_		
Number of crops	3	5	3.87	0.53
Without herbicides TFI	0	3.23	0.52	0.63
Herbicide TFI	0	4	1.62	0.88

TFI = Treatment Frequency Index
SCT = simplified cultivation techniques

Results & discussion



Earthworm biomass and richness

(a) GLMM, Z = 2.63, p-value = 0.008 (b) GLMM, Z = 2.33, p-value = 0.019



Focus on Aporrectodea anecic earthworms



1) Tillage is the most influential agricultural practice for earthworms

Ploughing had a significant negative effect on total earthworm biomass, earthworm species richness and *Aporrectodea* anecic.

These results are consistent with the work of Chan, (2001); Briones and Schmidt, (2017). This, can be explained by direct and indirect mortality caused by the plow and the fact that anecic earthworms with vertical galleries are more sensitive to tillage than epigeic earthworms living on the soil surface or endogeic earthworms digging horizontal galleries (Kuntz *et al.*, 2013; Pelosi *et al.*, 2014).

2) Organic and mineral nitrogen fertilization improve epigeic and anecic earthworms

Organic nitrogen fertilization (kg/ha) significantly increased the abundance of *Aporrectodea* anecic species. The epigeic earthworms and the biomass of *Lumbricus* anecic species increased significantly when the amount of mineral nitrogen fertilization (kg/ha) increases.

	Epigeic abundance		<i>Lumbricus</i> anecic abundance		Aporrectodea anecic abundance			Endogeic abundance				
	SE	Ζ	Р	SE	Ζ	P	SE	Ζ	Р	SE	Ζ	Р
Type of tillage				0,28	1,91	0,056	0,42	2,86	0,004			
Mineral fertilization	0,004	2,52	0,011									
Organic fertilization							0,001	-1,92	0,049			
Herbicide TFI				0,1	-1,81	0,071						
Without herbicides TFI												
Number of crops	0,82	1,71	0,086									
				Lumbricus anecic			Aporrectodea anecic					
	Epigeic biomass		iomass	biomass		biomass			Endogeic biomass			
	SE	Ζ	Р	SE	Ζ	Р	SE	Ζ	Р	SE	Ζ	Р
Type of tillage				0,31	1,96	0,05	0,51	2,94	0,003	0,19	1,85	0,063
Mineral fertilization	0,004	2,74	0,006	0	2,13	0,033						
Organic fertilization												
Herbicide TFI				0,12	-1,79	0,0732	0,15	1,02	0,307			
Without herbicides TFI							0,24	2,11	0,034			
Number of crops	0,65	1,87	0,062									

3) Pesticide application frequency indices negatively impact *Aporrectodea* anecic earthworms

Treatment Frequency Indices (TFI) of pesticides application(without herbicides) had significant negative effects on biomass of *Aporrectodea* anecic earthworms. The studies of Collange *et al.*, (2010) and Yatoo *et al.*, (2022) confirm this result. Indeed, pesticides can cause individual earthworm mortality directly or indirectly by disrupting enzymatic activities, affecting reproductive functions or modifying earthworm behavior (Pelosi *et al.*, 2014b; Datta *et al.*, 2016). In addition, these effects particularly impact epigeic and anecic earthworms, which are the most exposed to chemical molecules due to their presence on the upper surface of the soil (Datta *et al.*, 2016a; Yatoo *et al.*, 2022)

In agreement with the work of Jin *et al.*, (2022) and Niswati *et al.*, (2022), this result can be explained by the fact that fertilization is a secondary food resource readily available to earthworms (Leroy *et al.*, 2008, 2009; Zhu and Zhu, 2015).





- Conventional tillage is the most influential agricultural practice on earthworms, followed respectively by fertilization, pesticide application and crop rotation.
- ✓ Ploughing impacts earthworms biomass, richness and *Aporrectodea* anecic species.
- ✓ Fertilization (organic and mineral) increased epigeic and anecic earthworms.
- Ireatment Frequency Indices (TFI) of pesticide application (without herbicides) influence negatively the biomass of Aporrectodea anecic species.

abdourahmane.diallo@univ-rennes1.fr