

# Agricultural use of grassland is favorable to the development of earthworm communities

Kevin Hoeffner<sup>1,2</sup>, Frédérique Louault<sup>3</sup>, Lou Lerner<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Cluzeau<sup>1</sup>, Guénola Pérès<sup>2</sup>



Cathworn Toron

L'INSTITUT **agro** 

INRA

## Introduction



<sup>1</sup>UMR ECOBIO, Univ Rennes, CNRS, 35000 Rennes, France <sup>2</sup>UMR SAS, INRAE, Institut Agro Rennes-Angers, Rennes, France, <sup>3</sup>Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Ecosystème Prairial, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France,

Herbage utilization in grasslands by mowing and grazing strongly alters plant functional structure and diversity, favouring short and early flowering species (Greenwood and McKenzie, 2001; Louault et al., 2005; Ludvíková et al., 2014). These changes in the litter traits strongly impact the soil decomposer communities (Milcu et al., 2006; Sabais et al., 2011), including earthworms (Zaller and Arnone, 1999; Eisenhauer et al., 2009). In grazed grasslands, animal trampling often results in increased soil compaction (Mikola et al., 2009), which is detrimental to earthworm communities (Cluzeau et al., 1992; Muldowney et al., 2003) whereas, the return of organic matter to the soil through animal faeces stimulates the development of earthworm communities (Hendriksen, 1991). The effect of grassland management, whether abandoned, mowed or grazed on earthworm communities has been extensively studied (Muldowney et al., 2003; Curry et al., 2008; Schon et al., 2017) however, to our knowledge, no study assessed the combined effect of herbage utilization by grazing or by mowing compared to an abandoned grassland on earthworm communities.

The objective of this study was to assess the long-term effects of an increasing herbage utilization by grazing and mowing on plant and earthworm communities

### Materials & methods



### Study site

Earthworm and vegetation sampling and laboratory analysis

Long-term observatory ANAEE\_F SOERE-ACBB (Theix) composed of upland grassland plots. In 2005, **5 treatments** were established:

AB

Abandonned grassland neither grazed or mowed

Grazed pasture with sheep at low level of herbage utilization

SH-

Grazed pasture with cows at low level of herbage utilization

Grazed pasture with cows at high level of herbage utilization

Mowed grassland with plant biomass exportation (3 cuts/year)





Each treatment are repeated in 4 blocks, resulting in a total of 20 plots.

Field campaign was conducted in spring 2019. In each plot, in 3 different square meters plant species abundance was visually assessed.

Then earthworms were sampled at the same location according to the ISO 23611-1 (2011) method:



Chemical extraction (on 1m<sup>2</sup> during 45 minutes)

Hand sorting (block of soil 25x25x20 cm)

Collected earthworms were identified to the species level, assigned to a ecological categories (epigeic, Lumbricus-anecic, Aporrectodea-anecic or endogeic) and individually weighed.



CO-

CO+

Μ



•Herbage utilization: compared to abandoned grassland (AB), grazed pastures (SH+, CO- and CO+) or mowed grassland (M) significantly changed plant communities. Abandonment also lead to the lower plant species richness (data not shown).

•Animal presence: compared to mowed grassland (M), grazed pastures (SH-, CO-, CO+) significantly changed plant communities

•Herbage utilization: compared to abandoned grassland (AB), grazed pastures with a low herbage utilization (SH-, CO-) and mowed grassland (M) significantly increased total earthworm abundance, biomass and diversity. Mainly due to **Aporrectodea** anecic and endogeic (significant)

•Animal presence: compared to mowed grassland (M), grazed pastures by sheep or cows (SH-, COand CO+) did not modify total earthworm abundance, biomass and diversity, BUT it modified functional structure: epigeic abundance increased at low herbage utilisation (SH- and CO-, significant) and at high herbage utilisation (CO+, trend); Lumbricus anecic abundance increased at low herbage utilisation (CO- significant, SH- trend); Aporrectodea anecic abundance was not impacted; endogeic abundance decreased at high herbage utilisation (CO+, trend).

• Intensity of herbage utilization (CO- vs CO+) did not modify earthworm abundance, biomass and diversity, BUT it modified functional structure: Lumbricus anecic and endogeic abundance

- Intensity of herbage utilization (CO- vs CO+) significantly modified plant communities
- decreased at high herbage utilization (CO+, significant for Lumbricus anecic, trend for endogeic), while no effect was observed for **Aporrectodea** anecic and epigeic abundance.

•Animal type (SH- vs CO-) did not modified total earthworm abundance, biomass and diversity and no effect was observed on the ecological categories.

•Animal type (SH- vs CO-) did not modified plant communities

### Conclusions







- The increase in the grassland herbage utilization led to an increase in plant diversity.
- Faced with this gradient in grassland herbage utilization, the characteristics of the environment also evolved towards an environment with less plant litter, small plant species with more leguminous plants, until a nutrient-poor one when mowing without inputs.
- As a result, the increase in grassland herbage utilization, regardless of the intensity, has altered the earthworm communities with an increase in earthworm biomass and richness.
- Grassland management practices have also specifically impacted the structure of earthworm communities particularly within anecic and to a lesser extent within endogeic earthworms.

Bastardie F, Capowiez Y, de Dreuzy J-R, Cluzeau D (2003) X-ray tomographic and hydraulic characterization of burrowing by three earthworm species in repacked soil cores. Applied Soil Ecology 24:3–16
Bertrand M, Barot S, Blouin M, et al (2015) Earthworm services for cropping systems. A review. Agron Sustain Dev 35:553–567 Bouché MB (1977) Strategies lombriciennes. Ecological Bulletins 25:122–132
Briones MJI, Schmidt O (2017) Conventional tillage decreases the abundance and biomass of earthworms and alters their community structure in a global meta- analysis Glob Change Biol 23:4396–4419
Butt KR (1993) Reproduction and growth of three deep-burrowing earthworms (Lumbricidae) in laboratory culture in order to assess production for soil restoration. Biol Fert Soils 16:135–138
Cluzeau D, Guernion M, Chaussod R, et al (2012) Integration of biodiversity in soil quality monitoring: Baselines for microbial and soil fauna parameters for different land-use types. European Journal of Soil Biology 49:63–72
Curry JP, Doherty P, Purvis G, Schmidt O (2008) Relationships between earthworm populations and management intensity in cattle-grazed pastures in Ireland. Applied Soil Ecology 39:58–64
Larsen T, Pollierer MM, Holmstrup M, et al (2016) Substantial nutritional contribution of bacterial amino acids to earthworms and enchytraeids: A case study from organic grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 99:21–27
Pelosi C, Barot S, Capowiez Y, et al (2014) Pesticides and earthworms. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 34:199–228
44
Satchell JE (1980) "R" Worms and "K" worms: A basis for classifying lumbricid earthworm strategies. In: Dindal DL (ed) Soil biology as related to land use practices. EPA, Washington, pp 848–864
Schmidt O (1999) Intrapopulation variation in carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios in the earthworm Aporrectodea longa. Ecological Research 14:317–328 Schmidt O, Curry JP, Hackett RA, et al. (2001) Earthworm communities in conventional wheat monocropping and low-input wheat-clover intercropping systems
Annals of Applied Biology 138:377–388